

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMNERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/719,069	11/21/2003	Brian Wehrung	2247			
7590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER			
Scott D. Sanford, Esq.			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A			
	& MYERS LLP		D. DED 188 (DED			
Embarcadero C	Center West	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
275 Battery Street			3653	3653		
San Francisco, CA 94111-3305			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)	- &			
Office Action Summary		10/719,069		WEHRUNG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey A. Sha	piro	3653				
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the o	correspondence address -	•			
A SH THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period fure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status		4						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	lovember 2003			-			
2a)[☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊡ 6)⊠ 7)⊡	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>19-29</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consid						
Applica	tion Papers							
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				1(4)			
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		•				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been re ts have been re prity documents au (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicat have been receiv 7.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	·			
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-692) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 per No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/21/03</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail D					
LLC Datast and	1 Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/719,069 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-18, drawn to a hierarchical control system for a material transport system, classified in class 700, subclass 230.
 - II. Claims 19-29, drawn to a method for distributing and routing material, classified in class 705, subclass 8. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different because the subject matter of Invention I is directed towards a hierarchical control system that controls a material transport system, while Invention II subject matter is directed towards a scheme for routing material.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Scott Sanford on 2/21/05 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, Claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Art Unit: 3653

Office action. Claim 19-29 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura et al (US 6,185,474 B1) in view of Soraoka et al (US 6,526,330 B2). Nakamura discloses a distributed control system (see figure 1) having a host computer (30) corresponding to Applicant's higher level controller, said host computer including an exposure information management program (33), which manages jobs and communicates information in response to queries from exposure units concerning work information. See col. 5, lines 28-60. A semiconductor exposure unit (10) has an exposure work information management program (13) and an equipment control program (12) which corresponds to Applicant's lower level controller. Note that the exposure unit (10) may be construed as a "neighborhood." The local goals are construed to be formulated by the exposure management program (33) with input from elements (13, 14 and 34).

Nakamura does not expressly disclose, but Soraoka discloses the details of a

Application/Control Number: 10/719,069

Art Unit: 3653

wafer transfer/transport apparatus (202, 204, 208, 9, 10 and 92. See col. 5, lines 52-61, col. 7, lines 34-57 and col. 8, lines 12-21 of Soraoka. Note that zones can be construed to be the track or rail portion near an exposure unit (10) of Nakamura or one of the bays (2) of Soraoka.

Both Nakamura and Soraoka are considered to be analogous art because they both concern semiconductor wafer manufacture.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the wafer transport system of Soraoka in the wafer manufacturing system of Nakamura.

The suggestion/motivation would have been provided by Soraoka, for example, at abstract, lines 4-7 and col. col. 5, lines 52-61, col. 7, lines 34-57 and col. 8, lines 12-21, which describe use of a transport system for wafers in such a wafer manufacturing system as Nakamura. Additionally, one ordinarily skilled in the art would have recognized a need to transport wafers between processing stations.

Note also that whether or not the manufacturing product is a semiconductor wafer, pharmaceutical or magnetic storage disk, the system of Nakamura will still work and function as Applicant's claimed system.

Regarding routing, note that Nakamura's exosure work information management program performs optimal routing based on various factors. This program has to route the wafers to the correct exposure unit so as to have a particular batch of wafers processed.

Art Unit: 3653

Regarding regulation of the speeds of the various transport devices, not that the robots and transfer means of Saraoka must run by motors, which must speed up and slow down to a stop in front of a particular processing station in order to transfer wafers to a wafer robot at that processing station.

Regarding the destination announce message, note that Nakamura's figures 2 and 3, which describes a query as to whether or not the necessary work information has been received, of which destination information and status can be construed to be such information that one ordinarily skilled in the art would need to use in Nakamura's system in order for it to work properly.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey A. Shapiro whose telephone number is (703)308-3423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703)306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3653

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeffrey A. Shapiro

Examiner Art Unit 3653

February 21, 2005

DONALD REVERSIT SUPERIVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600